COMP4804 Assignment 2: Due Wednesday March 1st, 23:59EDT

Print this assignment and answer all questions in the boxes provided. Any text outside of the boxes will not be considered when marking your assignment.

1 Multiplicative Hashing — The Wrong Way

We didn't cover multiplicative hashing in COMP4804 this year, but we did do it in COMP2402. This exercise studies why the choice of *a* in the multiplicative universal hashing algorithms is important. Recall that the multiplicative hashing scheme that takes elements from $\{0, \ldots, 2^k - 1\}$ onto $\{0, \ldots, 2^\ell - 1\}$ using the hash function $h_a(x) = (ax \mod 2^k) \operatorname{div} 2^{k-\ell}$.

1. Suppose k/ℓ is an integer and consider the set of keys

$$S = \{j(2^k/2^\ell) : j \in \{0, \dots, 2^\ell - 1\}\}$$

Suppose *a* is of the form $2^{i}t$ where *t* is an odd integer. How many distinct values are in the set $h_{a}(S) = \{h_{a}(x) : x \in S\}$?

2. Suppose we choose *a* uniformly at random from $\{0, ..., 2^k - 1\}$. What is the probability (as a function of *i*) that *a* is of the form $2^i t$ where *t* is an odd integer?

3. Suppose we choose *a* uniformly at random from $\{0, ..., 2^k - 1\}$ and use this to store the set *S* described in Part 1. What is the expected time to search for a value $x \in S$ in the resulting hash table?

2 A Monte-Carlo Min-Tricut Algorithm

A *tricut* of an undirected graph G = (V, E) is a subset of E whose removal separates G into at least 3 connected components. A min-tricut of G is a tricut of minimum size (over all possible tricuts of G). This question studies a problem of computing the min-tricut.

1. Let *C* be a min-tricut of *G*. Prove the best upper bound you can on the size of *C* in terms of |V| and |E|. (Hint one possible tricut can be obtained by separating two vertices from the rest of *G* by deleting all their incident edges.)

- 2. If we pick a random edge $e \in E$ give an upper bound on the probability that $e \in C$.
- 3. Suppose we repeat the following |V| 4 times: Select a random edge *e* of *G*, contract *e* (identify the two endpoints of *e*) and eliminate any loops (edges with both endpoints at the same vertex). Give a lower bound on the probability that all n 4 edge contractions avoid the edges of *C*.

4. In a graph with 4 vertices, give an upper bound on the probability that a randomly selected

edge is part of the Min-Tricut. (Hint: Your bound in part 1 may not be strong enough to give a non-trivial upper bound. You will really have to see what a tricut in a graph with 4 vertices looks like.)

- 5. Give a lower bound on the probability that a sequence of n-3 edge contractions of randomly chosen edges do not contract any of the edges in a min-tricup *C*. (Hint: You get this from the last two questions.)
- 6. The previous question gives a lower bound on the probability that a monte-carlo algorithm finds a min-tricut *C*. Unfortunately, the probability is very small. How many times would we have to run the algorithm so that the probability of finding *C* is at least

(a) 1-1/e
(b) 1-1/1000
(c) 1-1/1000000000

3 Monte-Carlo Landslide Finding

We are given an array A_1, \ldots, A_n and we are told that some element x occurs 2n/3 times in the array, but we are not told the value of x. Our goal is to use a fast Monte-Carlo algorithm (that may report the incorrect value) to find x.

1. Suppose we sample k elements at random (with replacement) from the array to obtain k sample values S_1, \ldots, S_k . Give a good upper-bound on the probability that x occurs less than $(1 - \epsilon)2k/3$ times in this sample.

2. Give a good upper bound on the probability that x occurs less than k/2 time in the sample. (Hint: This is the same as the previous question except we are using a specific value of ϵ .)

3. Describe an O(1)-time Monte-Carlo algorithm to find x that is correct with probability 2/3.

4. Describe a Monte-Carlo algorithm that runs in O(k) time and reports x with probability at least $1-1/e^{\Omega(k)}$. (Just describe the algorithm. The error probability follows from the previous questions.)

4 McDiarmid's Inequality

Chernoff's bounds is only one of many *concentration inequalities* that probability theory offers to us. In this question we explore an extremely powerful and general inequality due to McDiarmid.

Theorem 1 (McDiarmid's Inequality) Let A be some set of values and let $f : A^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function that satisfies

$$|f(x_1,...,x_n) - f(x_1,...,x_{i-1},x'_i,x_{i+1},...,x_n)| \le c_i$$

for all $x_1, ..., x_n, x'_i \in A^{n+1}$ and all $1 \le i \le n$. Then, if $X_1, ..., X_n$ are independent random variables that only take on values in *A* then

$$\Pr\{|f(X_1,...,X_n) - \mathbf{E}[f(X_1,...,X_n)]| \ge t\} \le \frac{2}{e^{2t^2/\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}}$$

In words, McDiarmid's Inquality says that if we have a function f that doesn't change too much if we only change one of f's inputs then $f(X_1, ..., X_n)$ is strongly concentrated around its expected value.

1. A Bernoulli(*p*) random variable takes on values in the set $A = \{0, 1\}$. If X_1, \ldots, X_n are independent Bernoulli(*p*) random variables and $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ then what does McDiarmid's Inequality tell us about $f(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$? Does this remind you of anything?

2. Let $X_1, ..., X_n$ be independent random variables that are uniformly distributed in the unit interval A = [0, 1]. Let $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ be the function that counts the number of inversions in $x_1, ..., x_n$ (an inversion is a pair (x_i, x_j) with i < j and $x_i > x_j$. What is $\mathbf{E}[f(X_1, ..., X_n)]$?

3. Using the same setup as the previous question. If we change one value x_i to x'_i , what is the maximum value of $|f(x_1,...,x_n) - f(x_1,...,x'_i,...,x_n)|$.

4. What does McDiarmid's inequality tell us about $\Pr\{|f(X_1,...,X_n) - {n \choose 2}/2| \ge \epsilon n^2\}$

5. Suppose we run the insertion sort algorithm on X_1, \ldots, X_n independently and uniformly distributed in [0,1]. Then what does the above imply about (a) the number of swaps performed and (b) the number of comparisons performed.

INSERTIONSORT $(X_1, ..., X_n)$ for $i \leftarrow 2, ..., n$ do $j \leftarrow i$ while j > 1 and $X_j < X_{j-1}$ do swap $X_j \leftrightarrow X_{j-1}$ $j \leftarrow j-1$