## COMP 3804 — Tutorial February 2

**Problem 1:** Some algorithms textbooks have statements of the type

Every comparison-based sorting algorithm takes at least  $O(n \log n)$  time.

Does such a statement make sense?

**Problem 2:** Let A[1...n] be an array storing *n* numbers. In the January 25 lecture, we have seen algorithm BUILDHEAP(*A*) that rearranges the numbers in the input array *A* such that the resulting array is a max-heap; see page 56 of my handwritten notes. This algorithm uses the HEAPIFY-procedure as a subrouting; see page 53 of my handwritten notes. Consider the following variant of this algorithm:

```
Algorithm BUILDHEAP'(A):
for i = 1 to \lfloor n/2 \rfloor
do HEAPIFY(A, i)
endfor
```

Give an example of an array A[1...n], where n is a small integer (such as n = 7), which shows that algorithm BUILDHEAP' may not result in a max-heap.

**Problem 3:** Let A[1...n] be an array storing *n* pairwise distinct numbers, and let *k* be an integer with  $0 \le k < n$ . We say that this array is *k*-sorted, if for each *i* with  $1 \le i \le n$ , the entry A[i] is at most *k* positions away from its position in the sorted order.

For example, a sorted array is 0-sorted. As another example, the array

A[1...10] = [1, 4, 5, 2, 3, 7, 8, 6, 10, 9]

is 2-sorted, because each entry A[i] is at most 2 positions away from its position in the sorted order. For i = 3, A[3] is 2 positions away from its position, 5, in the sorted array. For i = 9, A[9] is 1 position away from its position, 10, in the sorted array.

Describe an algorithm SORT that has the following specification:

Algorithm SORT(A, k): Input: An array A[1...n] of n pairwise distinct numbers and an integer k with  $2 \le k < n$ . This array is k-sorted. Output: An array B[1...n] containing the same numbers as the input array. The array B is sorted. Running time: Must be  $O(n \log k)$ .

Explain why your algorithm is correct and why the running time is  $O(n \log k)$ . Hint: Use a min-heap of a certain size.