

Sections 5.3 and 5.4

1. (a)

$$f(1) = 1$$

$$f(2) = 1$$

$$f(3) = 1$$

$$f(4) = 1$$

$\vdots$

(b)

$$f(1) = 20$$

$$f(2) = 10$$

$$f(3) = 5$$

$$f(4) = 16$$

$$f(5) = 8$$

$$f(6) = 4$$

$$f(7) = 2$$

$$f(8) = 1$$

$$f(9) = 4$$

$\vdots$

(c)

$$f(1) = 1$$

$$f(2) = 2$$

$$f(3) = 6$$

$$f(4) = 24$$

$\vdots$

(d)

$$f(1) = 1$$

$$f(2) = 11$$

$$f(3) = 121$$

$$f(4) = 1331$$

$\vdots$

(e)

$$\begin{aligned}f(1) &= 1 \\f(2) &= 2 \\f(3) &= \frac{1}{2} \\f(4) &= 4 \\f(5) &= \frac{1}{8} \\f(6) &= 32 \\f(7) &= \frac{1}{256} \\&\vdots\end{aligned}$$

3. (a) Let  $S$  be the set defined recursively by

- $2 \in S$
- If  $x \in S$  and  $y \in S$  then  $x + y \in S$

(b) Let  $S$  be the set defined recursively by

- $5 \in S$
- If  $x \in S$  and  $y \in S$ , then  $x + y \in S$

(c) Let  $S$  be the set defined recursively by

- $1 \in S$
- If  $x \in S$  then  $3 \cdot x \in S$

(d) Let  $S$  be the set defined recursively by

- $1 \in S$
- If  $x \in S$  then  $(\sqrt{x} + 1)^2 \in S$

We could also write

Let  $S$  be the set defined recursively by

- $1 \in S$
- If  $x \in S$  then  $x + 2\sqrt{x} + 1 \in S$

5. The set  $S$  is the set of pairs  $(x, y)$  where  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x$  is even and  $y$  is odd, or  $x$  is odd and  $y$  is even (i.e.  $x$  and  $y$  have different parity).

7. Here,  $A[1..n]$  is a table of numbers sorted in ascending order!

---

---

Algo BinarySearch( $A[1..n], i, j, x$ )

```
if  $i = j$  then
  if  $A[i] = x$  then
    return  $i$ 
  else
    return  $nil$ 
  end if
else
  if  $x \leq A[m]$  then
     $index = \text{BinarySearch}(A[1..n], i, m, x)$ 
  else
     $index = \text{BinarySearch}(A[1..n], m + 1, j, x)$ 
  end if
  return  $index$ 
end if
```

---

We need to call BinarySearch( $A[1..n], 1, n, x$ ).

We could write this algorithm more carefully. For instance, we could first check to see if  $A[m] = x$  and return  $m$  immediately if that is the case. Here, the objective was to follow the general framework presented in class.

- The base case is when  $i = j$ , so when the sub-table is of size 1.
- We cut the table into two sub-tables of equal length.
- There is only a single recursive call.
- The merge step does nothing.

---

---

9. Algo NbOnes( $S[1..n]$ )

```
if  $n = 1$  then
  return  $S[1]$ 
else
   $m = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$ 
   $\ell = \text{NbOnes}(S[1..m])$ 
   $r = \text{NbOnes}(S[(m + 1)..n])$ 
   $total = \ell + r$ 
  return  $total$ 
end if
```

---

- The base case is when  $n = 1$ , so when the sub-table is of size 1.
- We cut the table into two sub-tables of equal length.
- We make two recursive calls (one for each sub-problem).
- The merge step finds the  $total = \ell + r$ .

---

11. Algo LengthAlt( $S[1..n]$ )

**if**  $n = 1$  **then**

**return** 1

**else**

$m = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$

$\ell_1 = \text{LengthAlt}(S[1..m])$

$\ell_2 = \text{LengthAlt}(S[(m + 1)..n])$

$\ell_3 = 0$

**if**  $S[m] \neq S[m + 1]$  **then**

- Pass through  $S$  from  $S[m + 1]$  to  $S[n]$  to find the longest alternating sub-sequence which starts at  $S[m + 1]$  and update the value of  $\ell_3$ .

    sub-sequence

        which ends at  $S[m]$  and update the value of  $\ell_3$ .

**end if**

$\ell = \max\{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3\}$

**return**  $\ell$

**end if**

---

In the two locations in the algorithm description where it says “pass through” sections of the table to find the length of the longest alternating sub-sequence, we have to use a “for” loop. In this loop, we increment  $\ell_3$  by 1 each time the following (or preceding, depending on the direction of the pass) in  $S$  is different. As soon as we find two identical consecutive elements, we exit the for loop.

- The base case is when  $n = 1$ , so when the sub-table is of size 1. By default, a sequence of length 1 is considered to be alternating.
- We cut the table into two sub-tables of equal length.
- We make two recursive calls (one for each sub-problem).
- The merge step finds the longest alternating sequence that starts in the first half and ends in the second half of  $S$ . Then, this sequence is compared to the two sequences found in the recursive calls.

13. Here, we assume that the table  $A[1..n]$  given as input has  $n \geq 2$ . The algorithm returns two parameters : the smallest element and the second smallest element of  $A[1..n]$ .

---

---

Algo Two( $A[1..n]$ )

**if**  $n = 2$  **then**

$n_1 = \min\{A[1], A[2]\}$

$n_2 = \max\{A[1], A[2]\}$

**return**  $(n_1, n_2)$

**else if**  $n = 3$  **then**

$n_1 = \min\{A[1], A[2], A[3]\}$

Let  $n_2$  be the second smallest element of  $\{A[1], A[2], A[3]\}$ .

**return**  $(n_1, n_2)$

**else**

$m = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$

$(n_{1,left}, n_{2,left}) = \text{Two}(A[1..m])$

$(n_{1,right}, n_{2,right}) = \text{Two}(A[(m+1)..n])$

$n_1 = \min\{n_{1,left}, n_{2,left}, n_{1,right}, n_{2,right}\}$

Let  $n_2$  be the second smallest element of  $\{n_{1,left}, n_{2,left}, n_{1,right}, n_{2,right}\}$

**return**  $(n_1, n_2)$

**end if**

---

Do you see why we need to return both parameters ?

- The base case is when  $n \leq 3$ , so when the sub-table is of size 3 or smaller. If  $n = 2$ , it's easy to find the smallest element and then the second smallest element. If  $n = 3$ , it's easy to find the smallest element. For the second smallest element, we have to compare the 3 numbers to find the second smallest. We need at most 3 comparisons. Do you see why the base case is  $n \leq 3$  and not  $n = 2$  ?
  - We cut the table into two sub-tables of equal length.
  - We make two recursive calls (one for each sub-problem).
  - The merge step calculates the smallest element and second smallest element among the 4 candidates returned :  $n_{1,left}$ ,  $n_{2,left}$ ,  $n_{1,right}$  et  $n_{2,right}$ . This is done with at most 5 comparisons.
15. Given two numbers  $a$  and  $b$ , we could first find  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$  and then return  $\frac{ab}{\text{gcd}(a,b)}$ . In the previous exercise we found an algorithm to calculate  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$  recursively. Let's try to calculate the lcm without using the gcd. First, how do we find the lcm without a recursive algorithm ? The positive multiples of  $a$  are  $\{a, 2a, 3a, 4a, 5a, \dots\}$  and the positive multiples of  $b$  are  $\{b, 2b, 3b, 4b, 5b, \dots\}$ . So the lcm of  $a$  and  $b$  is the smallest

number that is found in the set

$$\{a, 2a, 3a, 4a, 5a, \dots\} \cap \{b, 2b, 3b, 4b, 5b, \dots\}.$$

On peut donc calculer le ppcm de la façon suivante.

---

---

Algo LCM( $a,b$ )

```
x = a
y = b
while x ≠ y do
  if x < y then
    x = x + a
  else
    y = y + b
  end if
end while
return x
```

---

Now let's see how to write this recursively. If it were a table of  $n$  numbers, it would be easy to divide the input in two. Here, the input is only two numbers. The simplest way is therefore to translate the “While” loop into a recursive algorithm. We obtain the following algorithm.

---

---

Algo LCMRecur( $a,b,x,y$ )

```
if x = y then
  return x
else
  if x < y then
    answer = LCMRecur(a,b,x + a,y)
  else
    answer = LCMRecur(a,b,x,y + b)
  end if
  return answer
end if
```

---

Il s'agit d'appeler LCMRecur( $a,b,a,b$ ).

- The base case is when  $x = y$ . In this case, we have found the lcm of  $a$  and  $b$ .

- This algorithm passes through the sets  $\{a, 2a, 3a, 4a, 5a, \dots\}$  and  $\{b, 2b, 3b, 4b, 5b, \dots\}$  to find the smallest number in their intersection. With each recursive call, we exclude one candidate. There isn't really a merge step.

---

17. Algo Sum( $A[1..n]$ )

```
if  $n = 1$  then  
    return  $A[1]$   
else  
     $m = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$   
     $left = \text{Sum}(A[1..m])$   
     $right = \text{Sum}(A[(m + 1)..n])$   
     $total = left + right$   
    return  $total$   
end if
```

---

- The base case is when  $n = 1$ . Then the only element in the table gets returned.
- We cut the table into two sub-tables of equal length.
- We make two recursive calls (one for each sub-problem).
- The merge step adds the sum of elements in the left sub-table to the sum of elements in the right sub-table.