| Name: Student Number: | 1 |
|--|----------------|
| COMP4804 Assignment 3: Due Tuesday March 16th, 23:59EDT Print this assignment and answer all questions in the boxes provided. Any text outside of the boxes will not be considered when marking your assignment. | ıе |
| 1 Lazy Deletion | |
| Suppose a student has implemented a balanced binary search tree (e.g., AVL-tree, red-black tree, etc that performs insertion and search operations in $O(\log n)$ time, but was too lazy to implement deletion Instead, they have implemented a <i>lazy</i> deletion mechanism: To delete an item, we search for the noot that contains it (in $O(\log n)$ time) and then $mark$ that node as deleted. When the number of market nodes exceeds the number of unmarked nodes (during a deletion) the entire tree is rebuilt (in $O(n)$ time) so that it contains only unmarked (i.e., undeleted) nodes. | n. de ed |
| 1. Define a non-negative potential function and use it to show that the amortized cost of deletic is $O(\log n)$. | n |
| | |
| 2. How does your potential function affect the amortized cost of insertion? | |
| | |

2 Lazy Insertion Data Structures

Suppose we have a static data structure for some search problem. Given n elements, we can build a data structure in $O(n \log n)$ time that answers queries in $O(\log n)$ time. We convert this into an insertion-only data structure as follows: We maintain two static data structures, D_1 has size at most \sqrt{n} and D_2 has size at most n. To insert a new element we first check if the number of elements in D_1 is less than \sqrt{n} . If so, we add the newly inserted element to D_1 and rebuild D_1 at a cost of $O(\sqrt{n} \log n)$. Otherwise (there are too many elements in D_1) we take all the elements of D_1 , move them to D_2 and rebuild D_2 at a cost of $O(\log n)$. To search for an element, we search for it in both D_1 and D_2 at a cost of $O(\log n + \log \sqrt{n}) = O(\log n)$.

1. Define a potential function on D_1 and D_2 to show that the amortized cost of insertion is

| | g(n). | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | la tho amori | TIZAN COST O | insertion is | | | |
| rements | of D_1 into D | 2, the amor | inzed Cost O. | | still only $O(\sqrt{1})$ | $\sqrt{n} \log n$. | |
| <u>iements</u> | of D_1 into D_2 | 2, the amor | lized cost o. | I HISCITION IS | still only $O(\sqrt{3})$ | $\sqrt{n \log n}$. | |
| <u>iements</u> | of D_1 into D_2 | 2, the amor | dized cost o. | THISCI CIOIL IS | stili olily O(1 | $\sqrt{n \log n}$. | |
| <u>iements</u> | of D_1 into D_2 | 2, the amor | ized cost o. | | still omy O(1 | $\sqrt{n} \log n$). | |
| iements | s of D_1 into D | 2, the amor | ized cost o | THISCI GIOTI IS | stin omy O(v | $\sqrt{n \log n}$. | |
| iements | of D_1 into D_2 | 2, the amor | ized cost o | THISCI GIOTI IS | still only O(v | $\sqrt{n\log n}$. | |
| ешешь | of D_1 into D_2 | 2, the amor | ized cost o | THISCI GIOTI IS | stin only O(v | $\sqrt{n\log n}$. | |
| ешешь | of D_1 into D | 2, the amor | ized cost o | THISCI GIOTI IS | stin omy O(v | $\sqrt{n\log n}$. | |
| ешешь | of D_1 into D | 2, the amor | ized cost o | THISCI GIOTI IS | stin omy O(v | $\sqrt{n\log n}$. | |
| iements | of D_1 into D_2 | 2, the amor | ized cost o | THISCI GIOTI IS | stin only O(v | $\sqrt{n\log n}$. | |
| rements | of D_1 into D | 2, the amor | ized cost o | THISCI OF IT | stin omy O(v | $\sqrt{n\log n}$. | |
| rements | s of D_1 into D | 2, the amor | ized cost o | THISCI OF THE | stin omy O(v | $\sqrt{n\log n}$. | |
| rements | of D_1 into D_2 | 2, the amor | ized cost o | | stin omy O(v | $\sqrt{n\log n}$. | |
| eiements | of D_1 into D | 2, the amor | ized cost o | | stin omy O(v | $\sqrt{n\log n}$. | |
| rements | s of D_1 into D | 2, the amor | ized cost o | | stin omy O(v | $\sqrt{n\log n}$. | |
| rements | s of D_1 into D | 2, the amor | ized cost o | | stin omy O(v | $\sqrt{n \log n}$. | |

| Define a potential f | unction on D_1 | \dots, D_d to show | that the amort | tized cost of inse | ertion is $O(n^{1/d})$ | lo |
|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------------|----|
| | 1, | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

3 Array-Based Priority Queues

In this question, we investigate an implementation of priority queues based on a collection of sorted lists. In this implementation we store $O(\log n)$ sorted lists L_0, \ldots, L_k , where the list L_i has size at most 2^i . To find the minimum element, we simply look at the first element of each list (remember, they are sorted) and report the minimum, so the operation FINDMIN takes $O(\log n)$ time.

| 1. | To do an insertion, we find the smallest value of i such that L_i is empty, merge the new element as well as L_0, \ldots, L_{i-1} into a single list and make that list be L_i . At the same time, we make |
|----|--|
| | L_0,\ldots,L_{i-1} be empty. |
| | Prove, by induction on i , that the list L_i has size at most 2^i . |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 2. | Show how to merge L_0, \ldots, L_{i-1} so that the cost of this merging (and hence the insertion) is |
| | $O(2^i)$. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| 3. | Starting with an empty priority queue and then performing a sequence of n insertions, how many times does list L_i go from being empty to being non-empty. |
|----|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 4. | Using your answer from the previous question, what is the total running time of a sequence of running with an empty priority queue? |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 5. | As this data structure evolves, the elements move to lists with larger and larger indices. Define a non-negative potential on the element x so that when x is in L_0 its potential is $\log n$ and when x is in $L_{\log n}$, its potential is 0. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| 6. | Define a non-negative potential function on this data structure so that, when we build the list |
|----|--|
| | L_i , the potential decreases by at least $c2^i$, for some constant c . |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 7. | Show that the amortized cost of insertion (using your potential function from the previous ques- |
| | tion) is $O(\log n)$. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |