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## COMP4804 Assignment 4: Due Tuesday April 6th, 23:59EDT

Print this assignment and answer all questions in the boxes provided. Any text outside of the boxes will not be considered when marking your assignment.

## 1 Maximum-Area Independent Set of Squares

You are given a set $S=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}$ of axis-aligned squares. They are of different sizes and some of them overlap. The goal is to find a subset $S^{\prime} \subseteq S$ such that the elements of $S^{\prime}$ are disjoint and their total area is maximized.

1. Consider the optimal solution $S^{*}$ to this problem, and let $s_{i}$ be some square in $S$. What is the maximum number of elements in $S^{*}$ that are larger than $s_{i}$ and that can overlap with $s_{i}$ ?
$\square$
2. Describe a greedy approximation algorithm for solving this problem. State the algorithm and give an argument that it gives a constant factor approximation. [Hint: this problem is similar to the maximum-independent set of squares problem discussed in class.]

## 2 Self-Reducibility of Vertex-Cover

Suppose we have an algorithm $\mathcal{A}$ for Vertex-Cover that runs in $O(T(n, m))$ time on graphs with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. ${ }^{1}$ More precisely, the algorithm takes a graph $G$ and an integer $k$ and outputs true if $G$ has a vertex cover of size $k$ and false otherwise. The algorithm doesn't output anything else.

1. Using the above algorithm, describe an $O(T(n, m) \log n)$ time algorithm to determine the smallest value $k^{\prime}$ such that $G$ has a vertex cover of size $k^{\prime}$.
2. Describe how to improve the running time of the above algorithm to $O\left(T(n, m) \log k^{\prime}\right)$, where $k^{\prime}$ is the size of the smallest vertex cover of $G$.
3. Notice that the above algorithms don't tell us the actual vertices in the vertex cover, just whether or not one exists. Show how, if we already know some value $k$ for which $G$ has a vertex cover of size $k$ we can find the vertices of a vertex cover of size $k$ in $O(n T(n, m))$ time.
[^0]
## 3 A Fast Algorithm for Max-Clique in Graphs of Bounded Degree

In class we saw that the Clique decision problem is NP-complete. In this question you are asked to show that finding the largest clique in a graph $G$ with $n$ vertices can be done in $O(n)$ time if all vertices of $G$ have constant degree.

1. Let $G$ be a graph with maximum degree 3. Give the best upper bound you can come up with on the size of the largest clique in $G$. Show that your bound is optimal, i.e., if your bound is $k$ then give an example of a graph with max-degree 3 that contains a clique of size $k$
2. Let $G$ be a graph with maximum degree 3 . Give an $O(n)$ time algorithm to find the largest clique in $G$.
3. Let $G$ be a graph with maximum degree $d$. Give an $O\left(n d^{2} 2^{d}\right)$ time algorithm to find the largest clique in $G$.

## 4 NP-Hardness of and Algorithms for 3-Hitting-Set

The 3-Hitting-Set problem is the following: You are given a set $T=\left\{T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right\}$ of $n$ triples, where each triple $T_{i}=\left(a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}\right)$ consists of 3 integers in the set $\{1, \ldots, m\}$. A hitting set for $T$ is a set of integers such that every triple in $T$ contains at least one of the integers. For example the set $\{1,2,3\}$ is a hitting set for

$$
\{(1,2,3),(3,4,7),(1,5,6),(2,7,8)\} .
$$

1. Show that the decision problem: "Does $T$ have a hitting set of size $k$ ?" is NP-complete. (Hint: it is a generalization of one of the NP-complete problems described in class.)
$\square$
2. Give an algorithm for the 3 -Hitting-Set decision problem that runs in $O\left(n 3^{k}\right)$ time.
$\square$
3. Give a fast 3-approximation algorithm for finding the smallest hitting set.
$\square$
4. Using linear programming we can find real numbers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$, with $0 \leq x_{i} \leq 1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{a_{i}}+x_{b_{i}}+x_{c_{i}} \geq 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}
$$

is minimized.
Given $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$, describe how to find $x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{m}^{\prime}$ that satisfy (1), such that each $x_{i}^{\prime}$ is either 0 or 1 , and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}^{\prime} \leq 3 \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}
$$

5. [Bonus!] Suppose that, independently, for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$, we set

$$
x_{i}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { with probability } x_{i} \\ 0 & \text { with probability } 1-x_{i}\end{cases}
$$

For a particular triple $T_{j}=\left(a_{j}, b_{j}, c_{j}\right)$, give an upper-bound on the probability that $x_{a_{j}}^{\prime}=x_{b_{j}}^{\prime}=$ $x_{c_{j}}^{\prime}=0$. [Hint: If $x, y, z>0$ and $x+y+z \leq c$, then the product $x y z \leq(c / 3)^{3}$ ]
$\square$
6. [Bonus!] Suppose that the answer to your previous question was $p$. Then, give a randomized algorithm that produces a hitting set of expected size at most $k+p m$ where $k$ is the size of the smallest hitting set for $T$.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Assume $T(n, m)$ is a non-decreasing function of both $n$ and $m$.

